1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO		
3	DEPARTMENT R6 HON	. STANFORD E. REICHERT, JUDGE	
4			
5	CHINO BASIN MUNICIPAL WATER	)	
6	DISTRICT, Plaintiff,	) )	
7	VS.	) CASE NOS. RCVRS51010	
8	CITY OF CHINO, et al.,	) CIVDS1518945 )	
9	Defendants.	) )	
10	CITY OF CHINO,	) )	
11	Plaintiff,	) )	
12	VS.	) )	
13	CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER ) DISTRICT, et al., )		
14	Defendants. ) )		
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF ORAL PROCEEDINGS		
16	APPEARANCES:		
17 18	ART	IERREZ, FIERRO & ERICKSON URO FIERRO MY L. GUTIERREZ	
19	DISTRICT: PAE	BEST BEST & KRIEGER PAETER GARCIA CHARITY SCHILLER	
21		ISON SCHNEIDER & HARRIS	
22	DISTRICT: ROB	ERT DONLAN	
23			
24	<u> </u>	LAURA SANDERS Official Reporter	
25		No. 12273	
26			

1	RANCHO CUCAMONGA, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2016	
2	P.M. SESSION	
3	DEPARTMENT R6 HON. STANFORD E. REICHERT, JUDGE	
4	APPEARANCES:	
5	Appearing for City of Chino, ARTURO FIERRO and	
6	JIMMY L. GUTIERREZ; appearing for Cucamonga	
7	Valley Water District, PAETER GARCIA and	
8	CHARITY SCHILLER; also present for Jurupa	
9	Community Services District, ROBERT DONLAN.	
10	(Laura Sanders, CSR, Official Reporter C-12273.)	
11	-000-	
12	THE COURT: Let's turn to our civil matter on	
13	calendar today, and that is the notice of related case	
14	hearing the Court scheduled with respect to the City of	
15	Chino versus Cucamonga Valley Water District, two cases, one	
16	from Watermaster and one for the case which is the related	
17	case, CIVDS1518945.	
18	And, Counsel, could I get your appearances, please.	
19	MR. FIERRO: Your Honor, Arturo Fierro for	
20	plaintiff, City of Chino.	
21	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Fierro. Afternoon	
22	Mr. Fierro. And?	
23	MS. SCHILLER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Charity	
24	Schiller, Best Best and Krieger, on behalf of respondent,	
25	Cucamonga Valley Water District.	
26	THE COURT: Last name Schiller?	

```
1
               MS. SCHILLER: Schiller, that's S-c-h-i-l-l-e-r.
 2
               THE COURT: Schiller as in the poet.
 3
               MS. SCHILLER: Yes.
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 4
 5
               MR. GARCIA: Good afternoon, your Honor.
      Garcia, P-a-e-t-e-r, Best Best and Krieger, appearing on
 6
 7
      behalf of Cucamonga Valley Water District.
               THE COURT: I've got two lawyers then for Cucamonga
8
 9
     Valley?
10
               MR. GARCIA: Yes.
               THE COURT: Thanks, everybody. Please be seated.
11
12
               The Court scheduled this hearing as it did -- thank
13
      you. Now I have everybody's cards.
                                           Thanks.
14
               Mr. Gutierrez is also present in the court.
15
      Mr. Gutierrez.
16
               MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Good afternoon. Just wanted to say
18
      hello, Mr. Gutierrez.
19
                                           Good afternoon.
               MR. GUTIERREZ:
                               Thank you.
20
               THE COURT: And we've got a general manager here
21
      from Jurupa Community Services District, JCSD. Good
22
      afternoon, sir. That's Mr. Corbin, C-o-r-b-i-n. And
23
     Mr. Donlan from Ellison, Schneider and Harris.
2.4
               MR. DONLAN: Yes.
25
               THE COURT: Good afternoon.
26
               MR. DONLAN: Good afternoon.
```

THE COURT: All right. The Court scheduled this hearing as it did with respect to a previous related case issue having to do with Monte Vista Water District. And before I took any further action with respect to the notice of related case, I wanted to hear from counsel. The Court has been -- will state for the record that the Court has been working through a very extensive motion having to do with the reset of the Safe Yield from the Chino Basin reducing it from 140,000 acre feet per year to 135,000 acre feet per year. And there are ancillary issues with respect to the motion having to do with -- there are two other issues, which I was not -- I thought I had memorized, but I don't. One having to do with safe measures, I'll call it, and water accounts, and the other having to do with --Counsel, could you remind me? There were three issues in the motion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

MR. GARCIA: Storage, your Honor. Storage, housing and desalter replenishment.

THE COURT: Yes, desalter replenishment. That was the other one.

Before I go on, if I could just, on the same motion -- and this is off topic. I'll admit it right now. But as I was working through the motion, the Court was having some difficulty understanding the nature of what reoperation water was.

Could either counsel at the table, since this is

1 off topic and outside the issue of the motion, or counsel in 2 the audience help the Court understand this concept a little 3 better? I see Mr. Gutierrez coming up. MR. GUTIERREZ: I can give you a brief comment on 4 5 it, I think. Mr. Garcia may want to offer something too. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 MR. GUTIERREZ: The reoperation refers to the extraction of water from the safe -- from the basin in the 8 9 sum of 400,000 acre feet without a replenishment obligation. 10 In other words, under the judgment, when water is taken out 11 in excess of the Safe Yield, there is no obligation that 12 that water be replenished. The Court made a ruling -- I 13 don't remember. It was in the 2000 order by Judge Gunn --14 that in order to operate the desalters, the parties needed 15 help, and that help came in the form of being able to 16 extract 400,000 acre feet of water from the basin. 17 THE COURT: It went up from like 200,000 to 600,000? 18 19 MR. GUTIERREZ: The judgment originally provided 20 for 200,000, but that was back in '77 and that water was 21 already used. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. GUTIERREZ: So the reoperation water was 2.4 400,000 acre feet. 25 THE COURT: Okay. MR. GUTIERREZ: And there is no obligation to 26

replenish that. Some of that has been utilized but there is still some leftover or that hasn't been utilized yet. And the idea was -- the reason this was authorized, your Honor, was so that the water level in the south end of the basin could be depressed and thereby create a gradient which would draw water from the Santa Ana River back into the basin, as had occurred when there was a full amount of agricultural production. When the agricultural people stopped producing, then that raised the basin and water was leaving the basin into the river and down to Orange County.

The idea of the desalters was to -- was to -- the terminology for that is hydraulic control.

THE COURT: Yes.

2.4

MR. GUTIERREZ: Meaning that the level of water in the south end of the basin would be reduced so that water from the basin wasn't lost into the river, and secondly, the water from the river would come back in the basin.

Now, in order to accomplish that, we needed to take more water out and 400,000 acre feet was authorized for that purpose. And that whole concept is referred to both as reoperation or reoperation water and hydraulic control.

It's all related.

THE COURT: Is it essentially the same concept?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Yes, it's all related, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. GUTIERREZ: So the concept is to keep water

from the basin from going into the river and drawing river water back in the basin. To do that, we needed to lower the water level and there was an authorization to take the 400,000 acre feet.

THE COURT: I'm good on the concept of hydraulic control. I was a little fuzzy on the idea of reoperation water.

So after the water is extracted by the desalters, is it desalted and then produced by the Appropriative Pool? Is that what happens to the water after it's extracted by the desalters?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Generally, yes, but it's extracted by the desalters by wells and cleaned by the desalters.

THE COURT: Right.

2.4

MR. GUTIERREZ: But it's done by another agency.

The agency is the Chino Basin Desalter Authority, which is a joint powers authority between five public entities that are members that are appropriators as well.

THE COURT: Right. The City of Chino is one those, isn't it?

MR. GUTIERREZ: Yeah. The agencies are the City of Chino Hills, the City of Chino, the City of Ontario, The city of Norco, Jurupa Community Services District and a private water company called the Santa Ana River Water Company, which is a mutual water company. And then now Western Municipal Water District has become a party of that

```
1
      too, so it's a member of the desalter authority.
 2
      desalter authority actually operates the wells and the
      desalters. And the original financing of $105,000,000 came
 3
      by the selling of bonds, which the desalter authority must
 4
 5
      repay and it repays them by the contracts that it has with
      the various agencies I mentioned, each of which has pledged
 6
      an annual amount of money in exchange for that water to pay
      for the capital cost of installing and operating the
8
      desalters.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for the explanation.
11
      I think I got it.
12
               MR. GUTIERREZ: So the reoperation water refers to
13
      the 400,000 acre feet, and it's there in order to achieve
14
      hydraulic control.
15
               THE COURT: Got it. Okay.
16
               Mr. Garcia, did you want to add something?
17
               MR. GARCIA: I'd only add, your Honor, that I
18
      appreciate Mr. Gutierrez keeping it pretty factual.
19
      Frankly, I feel uncomfortable without the other parties
20
      present to talk about the issue, particularly without
21
      Watermaster counsel being present.
22
                           I understand that, too, and I just
               THE COURT:
23
      thought it was a pretty factual definition.
                                                   If it would
2.4
      have turned into argument, I would have stopped him.
25
               MR. GARCIA: Thank you.
                           I just needed just a little insight,
26
               THE COURT:
```

which I appreciate Mr. Gutierrez giving. Thank you.

2.4

And I'll just state for the record that I'm working on this almost daily. Even though I keep postponing the hearing, it's not for lack of effort. On the weekends and in the evenings, which is when I'm working on it mostly because I'm very busy in trial ordinarily during court hours. But I'm making good progress on it and I appreciate the briefing all the counsel have done and I'm working my way through it.

Part of that briefing leads me to the notice of related case issues because the CEQA case, which the City of Chino filed against the Cucamonga Valley Water District, case number CIVDS1518945, in the Court's view, and I'll state this right at the beginning as an indicated, is related to the Chino Valley Watermaster case because, in fact, it's raised as an opposition to the Safe Yield Reset Agreement and is argued extensively, both in the opposition of the City of Chino and in the reply of Watermaster. So one of the reasons I called this hearing is -- the main reason I called this hearing is to see if I was missing anything because it looked to me like these were definitely related cases. And there was no objection filed as well.

So, Mr. Fierro, you're on the receiving end, so to speak, on the notice of related case so I'll hear from you first.

MR. FIERRO: Your Honor, we went through this

exercise as your Honor pointed out with the Monte Vista
Water District case. We lost there and we believe there
wasn't any good point -- good reason to object to this one.
We believe it's a different case, closer call, so we didn't
see any point in objecting.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right.

And Ms. Schiller.

2.4

MS. SCHILLER: Your Honor, if the Court wishes to hear more details, we're happy to submit them, but we agree with the Court's analysis that the two cases are inseparably intertwined.

THE COURT: Okay. That's the conclusion the

Court's drawn then. They really are. So the Court's going
to go ahead and find that the Chino Valley Water case, which
is the -- give me just a moment -- RCVRS515 -- I knew I was
going to get the number wrong. The RCVRS51010 case is
related to the City of Chino, City of Chino versus Cucamonga
Valley Water District in case CIVDS1518945 and I'm going to
-- and because the Watermaster case is the lower number, I'm
going to order the, call it the CEQA case as a shorthand
way, the Chino Valley Watermaster CEQA case to be assigned
to me for all purposes forthwith, and I'll be issuing an
order to that effect essentially with very similar findings
to the ones that I did with respect to Monte Vista's
hearing.

So unless there is something further I need to

address or if you'd like me to schedule a status conference,

I can do that.

2.4

MR. FIERRO: Your Honor, it's my understanding that today was --

THE COURT: Excuse me. Counsel in the box, can we just hold it down a little. Thanks. Go ahead.

MR. FIERRO: It was my understanding that today was for a status hearing on this matter.

THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. There was. The Court was aware that there was a status hearing set yesterday in Judge Alvarez's courtroom and I'm glad he continued it to today.

What's the status, Mr. Fierro?

MR. FIERRO: Yes, your Honor. We have recently filed or served on opposing counsel a notice of election to prepare the record, so we are working on that. We will expect that we will have it done fairly soon. It's not a complicated matter so we're working on that and sent it to counsel for review and approval. And then really it's just a question of a briefing schedule and a hearing date, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't mean to make light of the case, but I thought it was -- I remember the word oxymoron, but I thought it was an oxymoron, but also heard it pronounced that way, to refer to a CEQA case as relatively simple.

There is no such thing as a relatively simple CEQA case.

1 That may be true, your Honor. MR. FIERRO: THE COURT: We'll need to address that. 2 3 Ms. Schiller, do you want to add something at this point? 4 5 Yes, your Honor, just two brief MS. SCHILLER: updates. First, we did have the CEQA settlement meeting as 6 is required under the statute. At this point we don't -- we 8 have not set another settlement meeting. It's unclear if 9 the parties will be able to reach settlement. 10 THE COURT: Okav. MS. SCHILLER: The other update I would provide the 11 12 Court is that we have been meeting and conferring with 13 Mr. Fierro's office regarding Cucamonga Valley Water 14 District's potential intent to file a demurrer and we are 15 complying with the new CCP rules, your Honor, so we are 16 going through the meet and confer process now and we'll see 17 how that goes before making a final determination whether to file a demurrer. 18 19 THE COURT: Thank you. Off the record. 20 (Court makes a comment off the record.) THE COURT: Back on the record. 21 22 So I appreciate counsels' willingness and 23 professionalism with respect to meeting and conferring to 2.4 comply with the new CCP requirements of meeting and 25 conferring before filing the demurrer. 26 The Court also would request as counsel is

preparing the record on this matter, if they would -- when it finally is ready to submit, if they would also give me a disk.

MR. FIERRO: Yes.

2.4

THE COURT: I understand that makes things much easier for everyone involved with respect to the analysis of the record and the preparation of the rulings. So if you'd keep that in mind as you're working through it, I'd greatly appreciate it.

MR. FIERRO: And if I may, your Honor, since we're on that topic. Our practice has been to prepare a hard copy record as well as a disk, and I wanted to ask if whether this Court has any preference as to whether you need the hard copy.

THE COURT: That's a good question. Yes, and I'll tell you why. I actually am going to get a little research assistance on this case, which is, in my experience on the Watermaster case, a first. I've done everything on the case all the time myself. But for the CEQA matters, I have some judicial help, judicial trial attorney help and I believe that they would like to have it on a disk as well as the hard copy. So if you would prepare that, I'd appreciate it.

MR. FIERRO: I'd be happy to.

THE COURT: All right. Do we need to set up a briefing schedule then or do we need to dispose of the demurrer issue first?

MS. SCHILLER: Your Honor, if it meets with the Court's approval and if Mr. Fierro agrees, it might be appropriate to set a follow-up status hearing maybe 60 days out. If we do end up demurring, we can do that by that time. If not, I imagine -- our offices have been working together very well, your Honor. We can perhaps submit a stipulated briefing schedule.

THE COURT: Sounds good. Mr. Fierro.

2.4

MR. FIERRO: That's fine, your Honor, but I kind of would rather move it along a little bit faster, so if we can do it 30 days instead.

MS. SCHILLER: That would be fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. You want me to set it 30 days.

How about this? Right now, I think I've got April 8 for the

-- yes, I hear some chuckles from the audience which is well

taken I must say, for D Day, MW day, when all of the

hearings are going to be for the motions that have been

filed, briefed and on which the Court is working diligently.

How about setting that for a status conference date too

then?

MS. SCHILLER: Your Honor, I will be out of the country that day, but one of my colleagues actually will be able to cover.

THE COURT: Last time I checked, Best Best and Krieger had a quite a few.

MS. SCHILLER: We do, your Honor.

```
1
                           Somebody may be able to cover that for
               THE COURT:
2
      you, perhaps Mr. Garcia.
               So, I'll go ahead and prepare the ruling myself on
 3
      this one. It will be in a minute order then filed in both
 4
 5
      cases, both the Watermaster case and what I call the CEQA
      case now. And that's a little different than the last time,
 6
 7
      but I think it's just easier for me to do it this time,
      which is what I'll do.
8
               And so is there anything else I should cover at
 9
10
      this time, Mr. Fierro?
               MR. FIERRO: No, your Honor, I can't think of
11
12
      anything.
13
               THE COURT: Ms. Schiller?
14
               MS. SCHILLER: No, your Honor. Thank you.
15
               THE COURT: Mr. Garcia?
16
               MR. GARCIA: No, your Honor. Thank you.
17
               THE COURT:
                           Thanks, everybody, for coming.
18
      appreciate your willingness to give the Court just a little
19
     bit of insight. Thank you, Mr. Gutierrez, for the
20
      additional insight.
21
               MR. GUTIERREZ: You're welcome, your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: I did not consider it argument.
23
      did, I would have stopped it, as I mentioned.
2.4
               And, of course, with respect to the Court's
25
      rulings, I hope to have -- I will certainly have a tentative
      ruling as I did I think it was a number of years ago, an
26
```

```
1
      extensive tentative ruling which I certainly will have at
 2
      the time of the hearing on the afternoon of April the 8th.
      My goal is to have it out a day or two ahead of time to give
 3
      counsel a chance to look at it because it's going to be -- I
 4
 5
      can tell right now it's going to be extensive. But I might
      not make that goal. I'm working on it as hard as I can
 6
      given the amount of time that I've got.
8
               So if there is anything else counsel want to
      address with the Court, now is the time to do it.
 9
10
      Mr. Fierro? Mr. Gutierrez?
               MR. FIERRO: No, your Honor, we're fine.
11
12
                           Thanks, everybody.
               THE COURT:
13
               MR. GARCIA: Thanks, your Honor.
14
               MS. SCHILLER: Thank you, your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: In the notice of related case, I will
16
      also notice the status conference then for the hearing so
17
      counsel doesn't have to worry about that either.
18
               MS. SCHILLER: Thank you, your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Yes, for April the 8th at 1:30 as well.
20
      I'll put that in as well.
21
               Thanks, everybody, for coming.
22
               MR. FIERRO: Thank you, your Honor.
23
                            Thanks, your Honor.
               MR. GARCIA:
2.4
                           See you in April. Thanks.
               THE COURT:
25
                       (Proceedings concluded.)
26
                                 --000--
```